## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

FILED U.S. DIST, COURT BRUNSWICK DIV.

2003 OCT 22 P 2: 53

CLERK F. Falicters
SO. DIST. OF GA.

JOAQUIN TERRERO,

Plaintiff.

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: CV202-134

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; HARRELL WATTS, National Inmate Appeals Coordinator; R. L. MATTHEWS, Regional Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons; D.L. HOBBS, Warden; Chaplin LAMB and Chaplin FOX, individually and in their official capacities,

Defendants.

## MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, an inmate presently confined at the Federal Correctional Institution in Jesup, Georgia, has filed an action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 1331 and <u>Bivens v. Six Unknown</u> <u>Federal Narcotics Agents</u>, 403 U.S. 388, 91 S. Ct. 1999, 29 L. Ed. 2d 619 (1971). A prisoner proceeding in a civil action against officers or employees of government entities must comply with the mandates of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C.A. §1915A. In determining compliance, the court shall be guided by the longstanding principle that *pro se* pleadings are entitled to liberal construction. <u>Haines v. Kerner</u>, 404 U.S. 519, 520, 92 S. Ct. 594, 596, 30 L. Ed. 2d 652, 654 (1972); <u>Walker v. Dugger</u>, 860 F.2d 1010, 1011 (11th Cir. 1988).

28 U.S.C.A. § 1915A requires a district court to screen the complaint for cognizable claims before or as soon as possible after docketing. The court must dismiss the complaint

or any portion of the complaint that is frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary damages from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.A. § 1915A(b)(1) and (2).

In Mitchell v. Farcass, 112 F.3d 1483, 1490 (11th Cir. 1997), the Eleventh Circuit interpreted the language contained in § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), which is nearly identical to that contained in the screening provisions at § 1915A(b). As the language of § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) closely tracks the language of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), the court held that the same standards for determining whether to dismiss for failure to state a claim under Rule 12(b)(6) should be applied to prisoner complaints filed pursuant to § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). Mitchell, 112 F.3d at 1490. The Court may dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim only where it appears beyond a doubt that a *pro se* litigant can prove no set of facts that would entitle him to relief. Hughes v. Rowe, 449 U.S. 5, 10, 101 S. Ct. 173, 176, 66 L. Ed. 2d 163, 169-70 (1980); Mitchell, 112 F.3d at 1490. While the court in Mitchell interpreted § 1915(e), its interpretation guides this Court in applying the identical language of § 1915A.

Plaintiff alleges that prison officials have inhibited his right to practice his religion during his incarceration. Plaintiff is a member of the Jewish faith, and he alleges that prison officials did not allow him to properly observe the Jewish festival of Sukkot. He also alleges that he was deprived of eating Challah bread during Sabbath prayers. Finally, Plaintiff alleges that the chaplains at the prison denied him equal protection by not contracting with a rabbi to come to the prison.

Plaintiff names the United States as a defendant in his complaint. However, a Plaintiff cannot maintain a <u>Bivens</u> suit against the United States, absent its consent to be sued. <u>Correctional Services Corp. v. Malesko</u>, 534 U.S. 61, 68, 122 S. Ct. 515, 522, 151

L. Ed.2d 456 (2001). Therefore, Plaintiff's claim against the United States should be **DISMISSED**.

Plaintiff's cognizable claims are addressed in an Order of even date.

So REPORTED and RECOMMENDED, this 22 day of October, 2002.

JAMES E. GRAHAM

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## **United States District Court**

## Southern District of Georgia

JOAQUIN T	ERRERO	)			
vs		)	CASE CV202-134		134
USA,ETAL		)	DIVISION	BRUNSWICK	
	t, while condu	- +	-	=	ffice of the Clerk of this does hereby certify the
1.	duties, I per		e United States M	ail a sealed	nance of my official envelope, and properly below; and
2.	That the afo 10/22/02	rementioned envelo	ped contained a c nich is part of the		
Date of Maili	ing:	10/22/02		_	
Date of Certi	ficate	same d	late, or <u>S</u> .	AME	
		S	Scott L. Poff, Clea	rk	
		F	By: NITA ROSE  Deputy Cleri	<del></del>	<del>}</del>
Name and Ac JOAQUIN TER		ELLITE LOW JESUP	, GA. 31599		
•					
					Copy placed in Minutes
					Copy given to Judge
					Copy given to Magistrate